

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/731,627	12/09/2003	Frank E. Oetlinger	599.016	4758
23598 7	590 09/20/2005		EXAMINER	
	DRICKSON NEWHOL	HAMILTON, ISAAC N		
250 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE SUITE 1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE	E, WI 53202		3724	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4			()				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/731,627	OETLINGER ET A	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Isaac N. Hamilton	3724				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	opears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	Idress			
WHI0 - Exte after - If NO - Fails Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply exite the mail that the set of extended period for reply will be office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three month	DATE OF THIS COMMU .136(a). In no event, however, ma d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to become	INICATION. y a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing date of this ce ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	July 2005.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	ction is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>22-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,14 and 16-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10,12,13,15 and 19-21</u> is/are object	ed to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		,				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2003</u> is.	/are: a)☐ accepted or b	)⊠ objected to by the Exan	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the draw	ring(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form P7	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have be	en received in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bure						
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies r	not received.				
Attach	(*(e\						
Attachmen  1) Notice	n(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intende	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper I	No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	3) 5) ☐ Notice 6) ☐ Other:	of Informal Patent Application (PTC	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/731,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Inventions I and II, claims 1-21, in the reply filed on 07/05/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Applicant traversed an election between Inventions I and II, however, there was no requirement for election between these inventions.

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 10. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 8, line 17, "described" should be changed to --describe--.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,627 Page 3

Art Unit: 3724

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 14-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the insert receiving structure" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bernstein (6,019,358). Bernstein discloses elongated insert receiving element 16 on the right side of figure 2; cavity is juxtaposed elements 42 and 34 on the insert receiving element in figure 2; blanking tool insert 28; clamp piece 16 in the center of figure 2; outer frame 12; outer face 26; inner face is the bottom surface opposite to face 26 in figure 4; bore 40, 62, 43; jaw element 48; clamping cavity juxtaposed elements 48 and 16; clamping position shown in figure 2; release position shown in figure 4; screw member 60; acute angle shown in figures 2 and 4 is 65 degrees.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,627 Page 4

Art Unit: 3724

8. Claims 1-6, 11, 14, 16, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Robinson (2,354,976). Robinson discloses elongated insert receiving element 14, 18, 20; elongated insert retaining structure 14, 18, 29; cavity is inside the "hook" portion of element 14, 18, 20; the cavity is capable of receiving a blanking tool insert; clamp piece 30; plate member 30; inner face is juxtaposed element D and element 30; outer face is juxtaposed elements 30 and 18; element 24 passes through the bore of element 30; jaw element/clamping structure C; clamping cavity is juxtaposed elements 30 and C; release position and clamping position are inherent because bolt when bolt 24 is unfastened the jaw element is released, and when it is fastened it is clamping; first clamping surface 33, 36; second clamping surface 35, 38; first and second clamping portion are arcuate and have an apex as shown in figure 5; screw member/fastening element 24; slot 44.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. To the degree it can be argued that the acute angle is not in the range of 30 and 80 degrees, claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein.

  Bernstein discloses the claimed invention except for an acute angle in the range of 30 and 80 degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the acute angle in the range of 30 and 80 degrees, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering

the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. It would have been obvious to provide the acute angle in the range of 30 and 80 degrees in order to create leverage for the weight of the elements.

11. To the degree it can be argued that the acute angle is not 65 degrees, claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein. Bernstein discloses the claimed invention except for an acute angle of 65 degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the acute angle 65 degrees, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). It would have been obvious to provide an acute angle of 65 degrees in order to create leverage for the weight of the elements.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 10, 12, and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The references teach everything as noted above, but do not teach a lip, a jogger, or a pin-receiving depression. It would not have been obvious to combine other prior art teachings with the references in order to meet the claim limitations.
- 13. Claims 15, 19, 20 and 21 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action. The references teach everything as noted above, but do not teach a lip, a jogger, or an acute angle. It would not have been obvious to combine other prior art teachings with the references in order to meet the claim limitations.

Art Unit: 3724

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thompson is cited for a blanking tool connected to an outer frame using an insert receiving element, a clamp piece, and a jaw element.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isaac Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-4509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday between 8am and 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

тÙ

September 16, 2005

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700